Prosodic influence in bilingual phonological development: Evidence from a Portuguese-French first language learner

Letícia Almeida 1,2, Maria João Freitas 2, Yvan Rose 3

¹Universidade Atlântica, ²CLUL, FLUL, ³Memorial University of Newfoundland

Theoretical debate in bilingual acquisition:

Are the grammars of bilinguals inter-dependent or autonomous?

Autonomous development

(e.g. Keshavarsz & Ingram 2002; Brulard & Carr

2003: Lleó 2006)

· Inter-dependent development

(Johnson & Lancaster 1998; Paradis 2001; Kehoe 2002; Kehoe & Lleó 2003a; Lleó et al. 2003)

Hypotheses about the effect of interaction (Paradis & Genesee, 1996)

(e.g. Paradis 2001, Keshavarz & Ingram 2003, Lleó & Rakow 2006)

 Acceleration (e.g. Johnson & Lancaster 1998; Lleó et al. 2003)

 Delay (e.g. Lleó 2002, Kehoe 2002)

Which factors may yield interaction when attested?

· External factors: Language dominance (e.g. Paradis 2001) · Internal factors: Grammatical complexity (e.g. Lleó 2002)

Goal of poster: Examine the patterns of interaction (if attested)

between French and Portuguese in the bilingual case study under investigation and to determine which

factors may yield interaction.

Data and Methods

- · Longitudinal spontaneous speech of a French-Portuguese bilingual child, video-recorded fortnightly at home in a naturalistic setting
- Each language independently recorded in separate, 30-min sessions
- Child born in Portugal and exposed to both languages from birth
- Upbringing follows the "one person, one language" setting
- Daily environment dominated by EP
- · Initial language preference for EP
- 55 sessions analyzed for each language, from 1;00 to 3;10
- No evidence of across-the-board grammatical dominance

FCT Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia MINISTÉRIO DA CIÊNCIA, TECNOLOGIA E ENSINO SUPERIOR Portug







Results: word-medial codas

French: virtually unrestricted: all Cs allowed (Dell 1995)

[obze] 'object' [aspirin] 'aspirine' [poste] 'to wear' [kalke] 'to copy'

EP: only three segments in this position (Mateus & Andrade 2000) ['fɛʃte] 'party' ['ałtu] 'tall' ['korvu] 'crow

Word-medial codas acquisition in French and EP

• French: all consonants in one stage (Rose 2000; dos Santos 2007)

coccinelle [koksinɛl] [koksi'nɛl] 'ladybug' [ɛskaˈbo] 'stool' escabeau [ɛskabo]

'snake' (Théo: 3;7) serpent [serba] [sar pã] EP: fricative codas emerge before liquids (Freitas 1997)

testa [ˈtɛʃtɐ] [ˈtɛʃtɐ]'forehead' urso ['ursu] [ˈu_ʃu] 'bear

'pants' (Laura: 2;2) calcas ['katse[] [ˈka_ʃɐ]

Barbara's word-medial codas development

- French: Fricatives (2;04) > Liquids (2;09) > Plosives (3;01)
- Fricatives (2;04) > Liquids (2;09)

Examples (French):

biscuit [bisˈkyi] → [isˈkwi] 2:10 'biscuit' $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{[lois kqi]} & \rightarrow \text{[is kwi]} & 2,10 & 5,5 \\ \text{[koksi'nɛl]} & \rightarrow \text{[kosi'ɛlø]}2;10 & \text{[adybird']} \end{array}$ pourauoi 2:09 'why coccinelle

Discussion: word-medial codas

- · Development of French codas regulated by same constraints in EP
- EP: Fricatives >> Liquids vs. French: all at once
- EP-Fr: Fricatives >> Liquids, Plosives

Delay in the development of codas in French

Results: singleton onsets

- Close inventory of French and EP singleton onset consonants:
- [λ] and [r] are exclusive to EP

French

[p,b,k,g,m] 1;04 t] ⊃ [lab] [p,b,k,g,m,n,t,d,f,y] 1;08
t] ⊃ [lab] [p,b,k,g,m,n,t,d,f,v] 1;08
t] \supset [lab] [p,b,k,g,m,n,t,d,f,v] 1;08
 [p,b,k,g,m,n,t,d,f,v,s,z] 1;11
t]⊃[nas] [p,b,k,g,m,n,t,d,f,v,s,z, <u>n</u>] 2;10
 [p,b,k,g,m,n,t,d,f,v,s,z,p,l,R] 3;01
 [p,b,k,g,m,n,t,d,f,v₁s,z,p,l,s,f_{1,3}] 3;02

	Labial	Alveolar	Palatal	Velar	Uvular
Plosive	p,b	t,d		k,g	
Fricative	f,v	S,Z	J,3		
Nasal	m	n	Л		1000
Lateral		100			
Rhotic		r	110000		R

FP

Features	FCC	Consonantal inventory	Age
1.[labial],[dorsal]	i. [son] ⊃ [nas]	[p,b,t,d,k,g,m,n]	1;04
[nasal],[sonorant],			
[coronal]			
2.[continuant]	ii. [cont] ⊃ [lab]	[p,b,t,d,k,g,m,n,f,y]	1;08
3	revoke ii.	[p,b,t,d,k,g,m,n,f,v,s,z]	2;03
4. [posterior]	iii. [post]⊃(cont]	[p,b,t,d,k,g,m,n,f,v,s,z, <u>f,z</u>]	2;07
5. [lateral]	iv. *[lat, post]	[p,b,t,d,k,g,m,n,f,v,s,z,f,g,l]	2;09
5	revoke i.	[p,b,t,d,k,g,m,n,f,v,s,z,f,g,l,c,z]	3;01
6	revoke iii.	[p,b,t,d,k,g,m,n,f,v,s,z,f,g,l,r,n,n]	3;03

Discussion: singleton onsets

Summary of observations:

- Full inventory at 3;02 in French vs. incomplete in EP (miss [λ])
- Acquisition of 7 features in French vs. 8 features in EP
- 3 feature co-occurrence constraints in French vs. 4 in EP

Generalizations:

- · Different numbers of features acquired
- · Different systems of feature co-occurrence restrictions
- 'Same' Cs at different ages (e.g. [n]: 2;10 in French; 3;03 in EP)

Autonomous development

Results: branching onsets

Both systems allow 4 combinations of obstruent+liquid

	French	EP	gloss
plosive+rhotic	[tr]ois	[tr]ês	three
plosive+lateral	[pl]at	[pl]ano	straight
fricative+rhotic	[fʁ]oid	[fr]io	cold
fricative+lateral	Ifflour	[filor	flower

[Marilyn 2;00.12]

branching onsets (Dell 1995 for French; Mateus & d'Andrade 2000 for EP)

Patterns of acquisition in monolingual EP Patterns of acquisition in monolingual French

• Reduction to C1 (Freitas 1997) ['krɛmɨ] \rightarrow ['kɛ] 'cream' [Inês 1;05.11] crème

- CrV develop before CIV (Almeida & Freitas 2010)
- No influence of C1 PoA (Almeida & Freitas 2010) bleu $[blø] \rightarrow [blø]$ 'blue' [Marilyn 2;00.12]

Barbara's French branching onsets

• CIV > CrV

bleu ['blø] \rightarrow [' β le] pruit ['p κ 4i] \rightarrow [' β e] 2;07.11 'noise'

· C1 labial > C1 dorsal > C1 coronal $[klo] \rightarrow [ka]$ 2;10.10 'bell' cloche

· Very few cases of epenthesis

• Reduction to C1 (Rose 2000)

 $[plœs] \rightarrow [pœ:]$ '(he) cries' [Clara 1;07.27] pleure

• Epenthesis, then target production (Freitas 2003) • No stage of epenthesis before target production grande ['grēdi] → ['kirēdi] 'big' [Luís 2;05.27]

• C1Labial develop first (dos Santos 2007) $[klo] \rightarrow [kak]$ 'bell'

• CIV develop before CrV (Kehoe et al. 2008)

Barbara's EP branching onsets

• CIV>CrV

Pluto ['plutu] → ['plutu] 2;07.11 'proper name' abrir $[e'brir] \rightarrow [e'piri]$ 2;07.11 'to open'

· C1 labial > C1 dorsal > C1 coronal bicicleta [bisi'klɛte] → [bisi'tɛte] 2;07.11

· Very few cases of epenthesis

Discussion: branching onsets

- Same development in both languages
- CIV > CrV = mono French learners
- C1 Labial first = mono French learners
- Crucially, Barbara skips the stage of epenthesis, well attested for monolingual EP learners

Acceleration of acquisition of branching onsets in EP

General Discussion

Summary of observations:

- · Simple onsets: Autonomous development
- Branching onsets: Acceleration (French-like
- · Word-medial codas: Delay (EP-like pattern)

(No segmental transfer attested)

Autonomy vs Interaction:

- Autonomous development in prosodically 'strong'
- positions (singleton onsets)
 Interaction in prosodically 'weak' constituents (branching onsets and codas)

Prosodically-driven interactions

Language dominance vs grammatical factors:

- · The data contradict predictions based on possible language dominance
- Bidirectional EP ⇔ French influence over a single developmental period (2;03 - 3;01)

Grammatical factors