ABSTRACT
The performance of some tasks in Information Retrieval is strongly related to the extent and quality of the geographic knowledge about named places. This paper presents a conceptualization of the geographic knowledge, the Geo-Net vocabulary, and a tool, the GKB management system, used to build large knowledge bases of named places relevant to the GREASE-II project. The Geo-Net vocabulary is a conceptual model for describing geographic places, including their names, types, relationships and footprints. It uses URIs and RDF to expose, share and connect pieces of geographic knowledge. This vocabulary allows linking the contents of a knowledge base to related data on the Web. The GKB management system is a multi-paradigm knowledge management system that enables the development of geographic ontologies with the Geo-Net vocabulary. This paper also presents a geographic ontology of Portugal, Geo-Net-PT 02, created with the Geo-Net vocabulary and the GKB system.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Whatever occurs, occurs in a geographic location, physical or mental [25], and hence can be described, filed, visualized, queried, retrieved, and understood using geographic terms [13]. Some tasks in Information Retrieval are designed for searching on collections of resources rich in geographic information. These tasks retrieve and rank relevant documents by applying methods based on spatial reasoning algorithms.

For that purpose, we need to support the description and discovery of features. The description entails the identification of place names and the features that they designate. The discovery entails the identification of geographic features given some of their attributes. We use the term feature instead of place or location to emphasize the relevance of the spatial information in these tasks. The term feature comes from Geographic Information, where a feature is an entity with a geographic location.

Our concern in this work is the provision of support for simple geospatial data identification. That is, build datasets that can provide the answer to questions like: given a set of place names, which available features best match the set of place names and what are their locations on Earth?

The answer to the above question might require exploring data on resources of the Web of Data, such as DBpedia [4]. Linked Data (http://linkeddata.org/) is one of the main communities of the Web of Data. It promotes best practices for exposing, sharing, and connecting pieces of knowledge via dereferenceable URIs using the architecture of the Web and the RDF data model.

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents the Geo-Net vocabulary, a conceptual model for the description and discovery of geographic features in the Web of Data and proposes its RDF encoding. Section 3 describes the Geographic Knowledge Base (GKB) system, a manager of geographic ontologies based on the Geo-Net vocabulary. Section 4 presents the application of both in the development of the geographic ontology of Portugal Geo-Net-PT 02. Section 5 describes related work. Finally, Section 6 outlines future lines of work.

2. GEO-NET VOCABULARY
This section presents the Geo-Net conceptual model and its implementation as a RDF vocabulary. The conceptual model of Geo-Net extends a previous version [6], which is in turn based on conceptualizations of Hill [12], Manov et al. [16], and Fu et al. [8]. Practitioners of Geographic Information Retrieval, Linked Data and Geographic Information are the intended audience of the Geo-Net vocabulary.

2.1 Conceptual model
The Geo-Net vocabulary is intended to describe and discover toponymic datasets. By description, we mean the
identification of relevant place names and features they refer to. By discovery, we mean the identification of features that best match a query. This requires a set of assumptions about the world that are presented next.

The conceptual model of the Geo-Net vocabulary (Figure 1) defines the following concepts:

- **Geographic features** (class `Feature`).
- **Place names** (class `PlaceName`). The linguistic content of the place name is captured in `lemma`, a canonical form of the name, and `language`.
- **Types** (class `FeatureType`). They classify geographic features.
- **Locations** (class `Footprint`). The spatial description of a location is captured in the field `geometry` and complemented by a `ReferenceSystem`.
- **Relations between features** (class `FeatureRelation`). They are classified by the class `FeatureRelationType`.

We now examine the above concepts in detail. The relations `type` and `name` relate a `Feature` with feature types and place names, respectively. These relations have a cardinality of `1..*` in the `FeatureType` and `PlaceName` ends. The cardinality reflects the requirement in the conceptual model that each geographic feature must be partially specified in terms of declarative knowledge, that is, a feature needs to have at least a place name and a feature type. The metamodel allows the specification of place names and feature types not explicitly related to features.

The association class `FeatureRelation` describes binary relationships among features. Relations must be qualified by an instance of the meta class `FeatureRelationType`.

The relation `typeRelation` describes binary semantic relationships among instances of the `FeatureType` class. This is the minimum machinery for supporting taxonomies of feature types.

The class `Footprint` is related with the class `Feature` by the relation `footprint`. This relation has a cardinality of `1` in the `Feature` end, and enforces the conceptual restriction that footprints are not shared among features. The data type of the field `geometry` is the type `Geometry`, a complex structure with the properties coordinates and type. The property `coordinates` is a list of coordinate points or a set of instances of the type `Geometry`. The property `type` is an identifier that specifies the kind of geometric shape whose boundary is described in the coordinates field; possible values include point, line and polyline.

The data type `Geometry` describes a geometric shape as a set of points that conform to a rule, and then, how to project them into a surface. These coordinate points take the form `(x, y)` if the georeferencing system is based on a planar, Cartesian or two-dimensional reference system, or `(latitude, longitude)` if the georeferencing system is based on a three-dimensional reference system. A planar reference system requires a map projection that describes how to transform the planar coordinates onto the Earth’s surface and vice versa. Both planar and three-dimensional reference systems need the definition of a geodetic datum. To be fully specified, an instance of the class `Footprint` requires the specification of a unique reference system for all the points stored in the geometry field.

The `ReferenceSystem` class provides a description of the reference system encoded in the field `representation`. The value of the field `representation` provides directly or indirectly the geodetic datum, and, if needed, the projection.

The description of time is not explicitly considered in the Geo-Net vocabulary. It only is a framework to identify the above concepts and properties. The description of its temporal dimension should be done with appropriate vocabularies, such as the temporal vocabulary described in [10].

### 2.2 Vocabulary

The Geo-Net vocabulary is composed by a core set of classes and properties and three specialized modules: spatial reference systems, information domains, and provenance. Table 1 presents the terms of the Geo-Net vocabulary. The Geo-Net vocabulary, encoded in OWL 1, is available from http://xldb.di.fc.ul.pt/wiki/Geo-Net-PT_02 together with vocabularies and datasets based on it, such as the Geo-Net-PT vocabulary and the Geo-Net-PT 02 ontology. The URI of the namespace of the Geo-Net vocabulary is http://xldb.di.fc.ul.pt/xldb/publications/2009/10/geo-net# with the associated prefix `gn:`.

OWL is a family of knowledge representation languages for exchanging ontologies that has formally defined semantics and well-understood computational properties [24]. A dataset described by the Geo-Net vocabulary is interpreted as a collection of individuals and a collection of relations between these individuals, where both collections are constrained by the axioms of the Geo-Net vocabulary.

**Core classes.** The following classes define the core concepts of the Geo-Net vocabulary:

- `gn:GeographicConcept` represents identifiable things related with geographic features. The definition of this class is intentionally broad. Application vocabularies can extend the conceptual model using this class. For example, they can define new subclasses or use this class as a restriction in new properties. This class is the superclass of the classes `gn:Feature`, `gn:PlaceName`, `gn:FeatureType` and `gn:Footprint`, which are pairwise disjoint.
- `gn:Feature` represents any meaningful object that can be grounded directly or by reference. A feature can in-
The following properties:

**Core properties.** The core Geo-Net vocabulary includes the following properties:

- **gn:name** asserts that a resource of type **gn:Feature** has as proper name a resource of type **gn:PlaceName**. A place name can play several roles along its existence as communication tool. These roles often reveal significant patterns of environment, settlement, colonization, organization, historical facts and folk etymology. Application vocabularies derived from the Geo-Net vocabulary can create specialized relations to represent these roles.

- **gn:type** asserts that a resource of type **gn:Feature** is classified by a resource of type **gn:FeatureType**. The intended meaning of this relation is to assert the feature shares characteristics with other features classified by the same feature type.

- **gn:Footprint** asserts that the location of a resource of type **gn:Feature** is described by a resource of type **gn:Footprint**. The footprint of features might be as complex as a survey description of the boundaries of the feature, or as simple as a pinpoint.

- **gn:relation** asserts that two instances of the class **gn:Feature** are related. Relationships record declarative geographic knowledge, such as capital of, administrative division of, and former part of, and configurational geographic knowledge, such as part of, adjoint to and connect to.

- **gn:lemma** relates a resource of type **gn:PlaceName** to a unique lexical form considered the canonical representation of the denoted place name. The range is a typed literal with type **xsd:string**. The canonical form must be a Unicode string, used in communication, indivisible, and without additional context information. That is, the lemma identifies the lexical form used as place name, such as Paris, not the lexical form of the proper name of a specific feature, such as Paris, Texas. Application vocabularies can represent other lexical forms, such as the hierarchical form Paris, Texas, by extending the class **gn:PlaceName**.

- **gn:languageCode** is a functional property. It relates a resource of type **gn:PlaceName** to a well-known string value that identifies the language of the denoted place name. The range is an **xsd:string** literal. The allowed values are the three-letters identifiers from ISO 639-3.

- **gn:geometry** relates a resource of type **gn:Footprint** with a geometrical canonical description of the footprint shape. It must be encoded in one of the following encoding schemes for representing geometry data: Well-Know Text (WKT), and Geography Markup Language (GML). Both are GIS industrial standards specified by Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC). WKT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Specializes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:GeographicConcept</strong></td>
<td>root concept</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:Feature</strong></td>
<td>feature</td>
<td><strong>gn:GeographicConcept</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:PlaceName</strong></td>
<td>proper name</td>
<td><strong>gn:GeographicConcept</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:FeatureType</strong></td>
<td>classifier</td>
<td><strong>gn:GeographicConcept</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:Footprint</strong></td>
<td>footprint</td>
<td><strong>gn:GeographicConcept</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:InformationDomain</strong></td>
<td>collection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:Source</strong></td>
<td>provenance metadata</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:ReferenceSystem</strong></td>
<td>spatial reference system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object property</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:name</strong></td>
<td>has name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:type</strong></td>
<td>is classified by</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:relation</strong></td>
<td>is related with</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:inDomain</strong></td>
<td>is member of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:lineage</strong></td>
<td>has provenance metadata</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:referenceSystem</strong></td>
<td>has reference system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datatype property</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:lemma</strong></td>
<td>has a canonical form</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:languageCode</strong></td>
<td>belongs to language identified by</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:geometry</strong></td>
<td>has a canonical encoding of its shape</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>gn:representation</strong></td>
<td>has literal description of reference system</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Classes and properties of the Geo-Net vocabulary.

include additional annotations. For example, we can add multilingual labels and a descriptive statement using Dublin Core metadata terms, or links to linked data resources using the property **rdfs:seeAlso**.

- **gn:PlaceName** represents proper names of one or more features. The definition of proper name is not restricted. For example, authorities can define schemas of codes for identifying features. These codes, known as geographic codes, are considered proper names in this vocabulary.

- **gn:FeatureType** represents classifiers for features. The Geo-Net vocabulary does not intend to enforce a typing schema: the set of features classified by a type is defined by explicit enumeration. A set defined by enumeration allows describing that these features share a set of properties without stating which or how fuzzy they are. The main drawback of this assumption is the system cannot use the properties associated with each feature type to infer or verify knowledge.

- **gn:Footprint** is a location on a surface. The location data can be described with well known vocabularies, such as Basic Geo Vocabulary [3].
syntax for 2D and 3D geometries is described in the OGC SFA specification [11], and GML is described in [19]. GML is also an ISO standard [23].

Spatial referencing systems. The property gn:geometry can hold a geometry with a reference to its coordinate reference system. We introduce here a class and two properties to explicitly assert the reference system used in the description of a footprint:

- **gn:ReferenceSystem** represents spatial reference systems. A reference system describes how to transform coordinates onto the Earth’s surface and vice versa. This class is disjoint with other Geo-Net concepts.
- **gn:representation** relates a **gn:ReferenceSystem** instance with a literal description of the spatial reference systems encoded in WKT or GML.
- **gn:referenceSystem** relates a **gn:Footprint** individual with a resource that describes how to translate the description of the footprint into a location on the surface. A **gn:Footprint** individual can hold only one reference system.

Information domains. The Geo-Net vocabulary provides support to organise data into collections. These collections are called information domains, and are represented by the class **gn:InformationDomain**. This concept disjoints with other concepts of the ontology. The property **gn:inDomain** marks the assignment of a resource to an information domain. Information domains allow the partitioning of data in coherent collections. Having said that, it is also possible the assignment of a geographic concept to multiple information domains. This enables the organisation of the geographic information in overlapping collections if necessary.

Provenance. The potential users of a dataset cannot evaluate the authority of the asserted facts without a proper description of their provenance. The class **gn:Source** represents sources whose contents have been added to a dataset described with the Geo-Net vocabulary. The information model applied to the description of a source should be similar to the applied to describe sources in the geographic information domain, such as the detailed in [18, 14]. The class **gn:Source** disjoints with other Geo-Net concepts. The provenance of items of information is stated through the property **gn:lineage** that relates a concept or statement with its source, a resource of type **gn:Source**.

### 3. GKB SYSTEM

The GKB system is a knowledge management system that implements a domain-independent geographic meta-model and integrates geographic knowledge collected from multiple sources. The core of the GKB system is an abstract data model, whose purpose is to formally describe objects grounded in some way to locations. The GKB system has components for loading, querying and exporting knowledge.

#### 3.1 Architecture

The architecture of the GKB system is represented in Figure 2. We can analyze its structure taking into account how we can interact with the managed content. Each instance of the GKB system manages an ontology instance. It is possible to interact with the ontology instance in three different paradigms:

- A relational database schema accessible through SQL interfaces. This entails that the client application must query and reason following all the rules and assumptions formalized in the Geo-Net vocabulary.
- An object-oriented data-structure accessible through an API. The API works as a contract between the application and the GKB by exposing the domain model.
- A knowledge representation described by an OWL 1 ontology, which uses the Geo-Net vocabulary, accessible through a semantic aware interface, such as a SPARQL end-point [21], or serialized in a semantic format, such as RDF/XML [2].

Following these criteria, the GKB architecture can be divided in relational, object-based and resource-based persistence systems.

**Relational persistence system.** The components of the relational persistence system are the tailored schema, the storage that implements it, and the API that provides interaction with the repository. Both the relational storage and its API must support the OGC Simple Feature specification for spatial datatypes [11]. The tailored schema is a platform-dependent model of the GKB metamodel that instances one or more information domains. These information domains can be modified to implement application requirements. The client applications can use the GKB instance by querying the relational persistence system.

**Object-oriented persistence system.** The components of the object-oriented persistence system are the content access framework, the access API, and the object-relational mapping (ORM) library. The ORM library must support code generation from schemas and standard spatial datatypes. The back-end of the object-oriented persistence system is the relational persistence system. The content access framework

![Figure 2: The architecture of the GKB System](image-url)
is an object-oriented library, semi-automatically generated from the tailored schema of the relational-based persistence system. The access API is a lightweight set of interfaces that partially implement the GKB metamodel and the additional classes, and provide graph navigation methods. The process that generates the content access framework is instructed to implement the access API in the generated code and wire the access API methods to fields of the tailored schema. The advantage of the object-oriented persistence system over the relational is that the generated code structure is closer to the GKB metamodel, and the ORM library can provide extra functionalities, such as caching and transparent fetching of data. The main disadvantage is the potential complexity of wiring the code and the additional overload of the ORM library to some tasks.

**Resource-oriented persistence system.** The resource-oriented persistence system is composed by the Geographic Ontology Serializer (GOS), the resource-based storage, and the RDF/OWL API that manages the resource storage. The GOS is described in detail below. The resource-oriented persistence system employs the object-oriented persistence system as back-end database. Applications can access the resource-oriented persistence system using a SPARQL endpoint or the RDF/OWL API. In addition, the resource-oriented system can be used to create RDF serializations of the ontologies managed by GKB.

### 3.2 Geographic Ontology Serializer

GOS is a GKB module that takes an ontology hosted in a GKB instance and creates its representation in one of the available RDF serialization formats. The main components of GOS are:

- **Access API** implements the current GKB metamodel based on the Geo-Net vocabulary. This API is the facade for any serializable content.

- **Content Access Framework** provides an ORM to relational storage instances of a specific GKB schema. The content access framework is generated using reverse engineering techniques and implements the Access API.

- **Application Script** selects the contents to be serialized using the Access API and provides specific mappings between a GKB tailored schema and an application vocabulary describing its semantics.

- **GOS Core** orchestrates the creation of serializations of GKB instances. The GOS Core creates and manages an RDF staging area associated to the execution of an application script. This component is also responsible for writing out in the desired RDF format.

Users can run application scripts in the GOS Core. The application script can use GOS core to query the Content Access Framework using its Access API, or use the default Geo-Net mappings implemented in the GOS Core.

### 4. GEO-NET-PT 02 ONTOLOGY

The Geo-Net-PT 02, a geospatial ontology of Portugal, is an authoritative geographic knowledge dataset created with GKB [15]. Geo-Net-PT 02 is the evolution of the ontology Geo-Net-PT-01 [5]. The development of Geo-Net-PT 02 began with a GKB instance containing the data of Geo-Net-PT 01, mainly administrative features, which was enriched with data from the physical domain later [20].

#### 4.1 Content organization

The Geo-Net-PT 02 GKB repository consists of three instances: geo-administrative, geo-physical and network. The geo-administrative instance includes human geography features, such as administrative regions. The geo-physical instance includes physical geography features, such as natural regions and man-made spots. The network instance stores data about Web sites. Each of these instances contains only data about Portugal. The content of the geo-administrative and network domains is the same as in Geo-Net-PT 01, now mapped to fit the Geo-Net vocabulary.

#### 4.2 Geo-Net-PT vocabulary

The Geo-Net-PT vocabulary is an extension of the Geo-Net vocabulary. The goal of this extension is to annotate specific characteristics of the Geo-Net-PT data and the tailored instance of the GKB system (version 2.1) that stores this data. The prefix of this vocabulary in this paper is gnpt:. The terms of the Geo-Net-PT vocabulary are summarized in Table 2.

**Name relationships.** The Geo-Net-PT vocabulary defines three subproperties derived from gn:name, gnpt:preferred, gnpt:alternative and gnpt:identifier. The properties that represent these roles are subproperties of the property gn:name. For example, gnpt:preferred is applied to mark the main label for the geographical feature in an information system. The notion of preferred name implies that a geographical feature can only have one such name per language. gnpt:alternative is applied to relate a feature with a resource of type gn:PlaceName not considered preferred. Finally, gnpt:identifier asserts that the place name uniquely identifies that feature.

**Geographic codes.** A place name is not a safe feature identifier for management or administrative purposes. Authorities can define schemas of codes for identifying features. These codes are known as geographic codes. In the context of Geo-Net-PT, there are some administrative features, such as administrative divisions, and physical features, such as rivers, that have known geographic codes. These codes can be found in databases and specialized documents. The class gnpt:GeographicCode represents these codes. The controlled vocabulary where the geographic code is defined is identified by the functional property gnpt:inSchema, subproperty of gn:lineage.

**Additional relations.** One of the goals of the Geo-Net-PT vocabulary is to describe conceptual, hierarchical and topological relations between features. These relations are subproperties of the property gn:relation. This vocabulary includes the following properties:

- gnpt:scope asserts that the subject of the relation is a resource that identifies a real world object, such as a book, or a digital resource, such as a Web page, whose content has a spatial extent described by the object of the relation.
and classes from known vocabularies including SIOC Core serialized resources. The serialization also uses properties also used to provide a human-readable description of the rdfs:label gn:FeatureType classified by a property.

gn:FeatureTypes. Features are serialized as instances of the geo:FeatureType class, with a human readable label, and members of a gn:InformationDomain instance. Feature types are also serialized using the SKOS Core Vocabulary [17] in a separate RDF dataset.

Footprints. Footprints are serialized as gn:Footprint instances in a gn:InformationDomain with a human readable label, based on the label of the Feature it refers to and a lineage description. The geometry description is encoded in GML [19]. Footprints that have spatial extent can be pinpointed with a geodetic point. These characteristics are made explicit using the class geo:SpatialThing and the properties geo:lat and geo:long.

Reference systems. Reference systems are serialized as instances of the gn:ReferenceSystem class. The description of the reference system can be retrieved from a registry, such as the EPSG Geodetic Parameter Registry (http://www.epsg-registry.org), if the URI has the form urn:ogc:def:crs:{authority}:v{id} where authority is the name of a known authority and id is the identifier of the coordinate reference system in its registry. A property rdfs:seeAlso links the resource with a GML description of it in that reg-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Specializes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>gnpt:GeographicCode</td>
<td>alphanumeric identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object property</td>
<td>gnpt:preferred</td>
<td>has as preferred name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gnpt:alternative</td>
<td>has as alternative name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gnpt:identifies</td>
<td>identifies in some Schema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gnpt:scope</td>
<td>spatial content about</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gnpt:isLocatedOn</td>
<td>is on the land surface of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gnpt:isAdjacentTo</td>
<td>is adjacent to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gnpt:isConnectedTo</td>
<td>is connected to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gnpt:isPartOf</td>
<td>is part of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gnpt:hasPart</td>
<td>has as part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gnpt:isSchema</td>
<td>specified in</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Classes and properties of the Geo-Net-PT vocabulary.

- **gnpt:isLocatedOn** asserts that the subject is a feature located on the land surface of the target feature.
- **gnpt:isAdjacentTo**, which is symmetric, asserts that its subject is a feature touching another feature.
- **gnpt:isConnectedTo**, which is symmetric, asserts that its subject is a feature attached to another feature such that objects may flow between them. This property is a subproperty of gnpt:isAdjacentTo.
- **gnpt:isPartOf**, which is transitive, asserts that its subject is a feature that is a physical or logical component of the object feature.
- **gnpt:hasPart**, which is transitive and inverse of gnpt:isPartOf, asserts that its subject is a feature has as physical or logical component of the feature designated by the object.

The Geo-Net-PT vocabulary has also the datatype property: gnpt:population, which asserts the inhabitants of the location. The value of this property is used to rank features.

4.3 Serialization for the Web

The Geo-Net-PT 02 serialization for the Web uses the Geo-Net and Geo-Net-PT vocabularies. The serialization procedure uses the property rdfs:label to provide a human readable name for the serialized resources. The property rdfs:comment and the Dublin Core metadata terms are also used to provide a human-readable description of the serialized resources. The serialization also uses properties and classes from known vocabularies including SIOC Core (prefix sioc:), FOAF (prefix foaf:) and Basic Geo (prefix geo:) when they can provide a better description of the semantics of the resource. The use of these vocabularies eases the reuse of the Geo-Net-PT 02 by other communities.

Features. Features are serialized as gn:Feature instances, classified by a gn:FeatureType, with a human readable rdfs:label, members of a gn:InformationDomain, and information about provenance captured with a gn:lineage property.

Features from the geo-administrative and the geo-physical information domains contain as many labels as place names. These labels are derived from the relations with place names asserted in gnpt:preferred and gnpt:alternative. Also, these features can be reused as spatial references. Thus, we use the class geo:SpatialThing from the Basic Geo vocabulary to describe these resources as having spatial extent. If a detailed description of the spatial extent is available as a footprint, the property gn:footprint points to it.

Features from the network domain represent Web sites and internet domains. Each network feature is annotated as a resource of type sioc:Space. Its label is based on the URL of the Web page or on the registered name of the internet domain. If the network feature describes a Web page, the property rdfs:seeAlso links the feature to it.

Place names. Place names are serialized as gn:PlaceName instances, with its lemma, its language and a human readable label. Also, they are tagged as members of one of the gn:InformationDomain. Only place names from the geo-administrative and the geo-physical information domains are serialized as RDF. Some geographic codes are explicitly serialized as resources of type gnpt:GeographicCode. These names are related to features by gn:identifies and gn:identifier. Also, the property gnpt:inSchema links the name with the schema where the geographic code is defined.

Feature types. Feature types are serialized as instances of the gn:FeatureType class, with a human readable label, and members of a gn:InformationDomain instance. Feature types are also serialized using the SKOS Core Vocabulary [17] in a separate RDF dataset.

Footprints. Footprints are serialized as gn:Footprint instances in a gn:InformationDomain with a human readable label, based on the label of the Feature it refers to and a lineage description. The geometry description is encoded in GML [19]. Footprints that have spatial extent can be pinpointed with a geodetic point. These characteristics are made explicit using the class geo:SpatialThing and the properties geo:lat and geo:long.
### Table 3: Descriptive statistics of Geo-Net-PT 02 concepts; this table only considers direct assertions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Adm. (%)</th>
<th>Phy. (%)</th>
<th>Net. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Features</td>
<td>386,061</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>3,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Names</td>
<td>265,041</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>8,286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footprints</td>
<td>4,597</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other</td>
<td>4,597</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>3,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>660,637</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>17,174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources. They are serialized as resources of type `gn:Source` with a rich metadata description. A property `rdfs:seeAlso` links, when available, with an online resource where the original data can be found or is described in detail.

Relationship. The serialization only asserts direct relationships. The serialized relationships include: administrative hierarchy containment, spatial proper containment, administrative and spatial adjacency, spatial connectivity, relative location, geographic scope web resources and location of the owner of a Web resource. The geographic scope and the location are special relationships. The geographic scope of a Web resource is derived from its content [22]. The scope is described by a `gmt:scope` property that links a feature resource in the network domain to a feature resource in the geo-administrative domain. The owner of a Web resource is described using the concept `sioc:User`, and the ownership by the property `sioc:has_owner`. The location of the user is described using the property `foaf:based_near`.

### 4.4 Descriptive statistics

Geo-Net-PT 02 defines 701,209 concepts, most of them administrative features and place names (see Table 3). Some of these concepts have additional types to ease the reuse in the Web of Data: 390,664 administrative and physical features and footprints are classified as `geo: SpatialThing` and 23,666 network features are classified as `geo:Space`. Geo-Net-PT 02 identifies 22,980 owners of domains, which are classified as `sioc:User` instances. The administrative and physical features are classified by 81 feature types. Postal code, street layout and settlement are the most common feature types found in the geo-administrative domain. Hydrography and touristic resources, such as museums and hotels, are the most common feature types found in the geo-physical domain. The geographic descriptions are in 5 different coordinate reference systems, and there are two different coordinate reference systems for footprints located in Portugal’s mainland (ETRS 1989 TM06-Portugal, Lisboa Hayford Gauss IGeoE). Geo-Net-PT 02 has 21 different sources. The main source is CTT (http://www.ctt.pt), Portugal’s mail services. It provides mainly addresses which outnumber other kinds of features. Excluding CTT, about 5% of the data is derived using rules. Other relevant local sources are Fundação para a Computação Científica Nacional (FCCN, http://www.fccn.pt), Agência Portuguesa do Ambiente (APA, http://www.apambiente.pt) and Instituto Geográfico Português (IGP, http://www.igeo.pt).

### 4.5 Production environment

The RDBMS of choice for GKB is PostgreSQL 8.3.6. The support for geographic objects to the PostgreSQL is provided by PostGIS 1.3.6. The language for the development of the object-oriented and resource-oriented persistence systems is Java SE 1.6. The ORM library of choice for the object-oriented persistence system is Hibernate Core 3.3. The support for geographic objects is provided by the extension Hibernate Spatial. The storage system of choice for the resource-oriented persistence system is TBD, a large-scale non-transactional file-based RDF storage.

### 5. RELATED WORK

The use of Semantic Web languages and concepts of the Web of Data has generated interest in the literature. Abdelmoty et al. [1] review the limitations of the Semantic Web languages for the representation of named places, and proposes the combination of semantic web languages and rules for building and manage place ontologies. Our approach is similar but more flexible, because the ontologies based in Geo-Net can describe detailed footprints and names that are proper names of several places. The GKB system does not support rules, although applications built on it can use them for the derivation of spatial information.

On the side of publication and sharing of these datasets, Goodwin et al. [9] propose the use of RDFS to describe the contents of existing place name databases, and detects some issues, such as the need for partitioning the data in coherent collections and including data provenance information. These aspects are included in Geo-Net.

Linked open data practitioners have created large collections of named places on the Web. The GeoNames Ontology (http://www.geonames.org/ontology) contains about 6.2 million named places in RDF. The DBpedia Ontology (http://dbpedia.org/about) contains 329,000 places out of 1.17 million instances derived from Wikipedia. The above datasets are interlinked with other datasets, such as the CIA World Factbook, US Census Data and WordNet. Geo-Net-PT 02 is focused on a limited geographic area (Portugal), but its level of detail is higher than other linked geographic datasets.

### 6. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Geo-Net and GKB provide a flexible model and access interface to geographic ontologies. Both also allow the development of improved geographic ontologies, such as Geo-Net-PT 02, which was designed as an answer to the limitations that we found as users of Geo-Net-PT 01. Geo-Net-PT 02 now includes comprehensive information of the physical geography of Portugal, and semantic associations among the features in the physical and administrative domains.

Geographic ontologies truly demonstrate their usefulness if they are reused. The choice of RDF as the abstract data model of the Geo-Net vocabulary is a step in this approach. Future work will follow this path: its publication as Linked
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